



KREPS LAW FIRM, LLC

STATEWIDE DUI DEFENSE CASE RESULTS

AGGRESSIVE ALABAMA DUI ATTORNEYS

KREPS LAW FIRM, LLC

Tel: (866) 347-2889

Tel: (800) DIAL-DUI

jckreps@krepslawfirm.com

www.FightYourAlabamaDUI.com
www.AlabamaTrafficTicketAttorney.com

STAFF ON DUTY 24 HOURS A DAY

TELEPHONES ANSWERED
24 HOURS PER DAY
365 DAYS PER YEAR

SPEAK TO AN ATTORNEY NOW!

800.DIAL.DUI
866.347.2889

MEMBER, NATIONAL COLLEGE
FOR DUI DEFENSE

CERTIFIED PER NHTSA
GUIDELINES TO ADMINISTER
STANDARDIZED FIELD
SOBRIETY TESTS

CERTIFIED PER NHTSA
GUIDELINES AS A
STANDARDIZED FIELD
SOBRIETY TEST INSTRUCTOR

CHARGE(S): Driving Under the Influence (DUI); Refusal to Permit Inspection of Commercial Vehicle; Failure to Secure Vehicle Equipment.

FACTS: Anonymous tipster notified police of Kreps Law Firm client's 18 wheeler swerving all over the road. Client exited Interstate 65 and parked vehicle in neighborhood and proceeded to sleeper in the rear of the cab. Police Officers arrived and called a locksmith to the scene to gain entry into the vehicle. According to report, client was mouthy, belligerent, cocky, and was emitting a severe odor of alcohol. Failed field sobriety tests (horizontal gaze nystagmus and Alco-check.)

BLOOD ALCOHOL: .23g/ 210L

RESULT: DUI and all other charges **DISMISSED** after hearing and Judge's Order granting Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence filed by DUI Attorney Joseph Kreps.

CHARGE(S): 2nd Offense Driving Under the Influence (DUI); Speeding.

FACTS: Kreps Law Firm client stopped at 3:45 a.m. for speeding (72 mph/55 posted limit.) Distinct odor of an alcoholic beverage; failed field sobriety tests (horizontal gaze nystagmus, walk and turn, one leg stand.) Arrested for 2nd offense DUI.

BLOOD ALCOHOL: .09g/ 210L

RESULT: DUI charge **DISMISSED** after negotiations between DUI Attorney Joseph Kreps and prosecutor.

CHARGE(S): Driving Under the Influence (DUI).

FACTS: Kreps Law Firm client involved in single car accident at 8 p.m. Overturned vehicle. Beer cans in backseat of vehicle. Transported to emergency room and hospitalized. No field sobriety tests. Arrested for DUI.

BLOOD ALCOHOL: PBT .18g/ 210L

RESULT: DUI Charge **DISMISSED** after pre-trial hearing and negotiations between DUI Attorney Joseph Kreps and prosecutor.

CHARGE(S): Driving Under the Influence (DUI); Reckless Driving.

FACTS: Client was traveling East on I-20 in Alabama. Officer initiated traffic stop for driving recklessly. Subject failed field sobriety tests per officer's report.

BLOOD ALCOHOL: 0.18 g/210L

RESULT: DUI Charge **DISMISSED** at Pre-trial hearing after negotiations between DUI Defense Attorney Joseph Kreps and prosecutor.

ADVERTISEMENT



AGGRESSIVE ALABAMA DUI ATTORNEYS

KREPS LAW FIRM, LLC

Tel: (866) 347-2889

Tel: (800) DIAL-DUI

jckreps@krepslawfirm.com

www.FightYourAlabamaDUI.com

www.AlabamaTrafficTicketAttorney.com

STAFF ON DUTY 24 HOURS A DAY

TELEPHONES ANSWERED
24 HOURS PER DAY
365 DAYS PER YEAR

SPEAK TO AN ATTORNEY
NOW!

800.DIAL.DUI
866.347.2889

MEMBER, NATIONAL COLLEGE
FOR DUI DEFENSE

CERTIFIED PER NHTSA
GUIDELINES TO ADMINISTER
STANDARDIZED FIELD
SOBRIETY TESTS

CERTIFIED PER NHTSA
GUIDELINES AS A
STANDARDIZED FIELD
SOBRIETY TEST INSTRUCTOR

STATEWIDE DUI DEFENSE CASE RESULTS

CHARGE(S): Driving Under the Influence (DUI).

FACTS: Client was traveling on I-459 near Birmingham, Alabama. Involved in motor vehicle collision. Subject failed limited field sobriety tests per officer's report. Issue as to whether client was driving vehicle.

BLOOD ALCOHOL: 0.14 g/210L per hospital blood test. 0.06 g/210L 5 hours after accident per Draeger.

RESULT: DUI Charge **DISMISSED**. Kreps Law Firm attorneys and investigators obtained affidavit from witness and driver of other vehicle that our client was not driving.

CHARGE(S): Driving Under the Influence (DUI) - 3rd Offense. Driving on wrong side of the road.

FACTS: Client was traveling near Birmingham on the wrong side of the road. Subject failed field sobriety tests per officer's report.

BLOOD ALCOHOL: Refusal.

RESULT: DUI Charge **DISMISSED** upon Pre-trial motion by DUI Attorney Joseph Kreps.

CHARGE(S): Driving Under the Influence (DUI) - 3rd Offense. Failure to drive in traffic lane.

FACTS: Client was traveling on Highway 280. Police Officer followed client for approximately 2 miles. Officer reported drifting several times and failure to maintain traffic lane. Cooler of beer in front seat. Open containers of beer in vehicle. Subject failed field sobriety tests per officer's report. Officer's report indicated stop was videotaped by in-car police records device. Police agency failed to preserve videotape.

BLOOD ALCOHOL: Refusal.

RESULT: Pre-Trial Motion filed to dismiss charges and suppress any and all evidence. After hearing just before trial, Judge indicated he would likely grant motion and requested briefs. DUI Charge then **DISMISSED** by Prosecutor after arguments by DUI Attorney Joseph Kreps.

CHARGE(S): Driving Under the Influence (DUI); 3rd Arrest for DUI.

FACTS: Client and a friend had visited a bar and stopped at IHOP around 1am to eat. Sheriff's Deputy was eating at the IHOP. According to officer's report, client and his friend were loud and appeared intoxicated. Ordered to leave by Officer. Client and friend left, and officer jumped in his squad car, chased client down and arrested him for DUI. Refused field sobriety test.

BLOOD ALCOHOL: Refusal

RESULT: Pre-Trial Motion prepared to dismiss charges and suppress any and all evidence due to entrapment. After hearing just before trial, Judge **DISMISSED** DUI Charge upon motion after arguments by DUI Attorney Joseph Kreps.

Note: These testimonials are not an indication of future results. Every case is different, and regardless of what friends, family, or other individuals may say about the outcome of a particular case, each case must be evaluated on its own facts and circumstances as they apply to the law. The valuation of a case depends on the facts, the jurisdiction, the venue, the witnesses, the parties, and the testimony, among other factors.

"No representation is made that the quality of the legal services to be performed is greater than the quality of legal services performed by other lawyers."

ADVERTISEMENT